

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/920,702                             | 08/02/2001    | Carsten Buck         | 40983 US 6765           |                  |  |
| 7590 10/18/2004                        |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Tyco Technolo                          | ogy Resources | ULLAH, AKM E         |                         |                  |  |
| Suite 450<br>4550 New Linden Hill Road |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Wilmington, DE 19808                   |               |                      | 2874                    |                  |  |
|  |               | •                    | DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application   | No.  | Applicant(s)  |        |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|--------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 09/920,702  |  | BUCK ET AL.   |        |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |  | Examiner  |  | Art Unit  |        |  |  |  |
|   |  | Akm Enayet  | Ullah  | 2874  |        |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication a   |   |  |   | ldress |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statuto iod will apply and will entite, cause the applica | , however, may a reply be tim<br>ry minimum of thirty (30) days<br>expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>ation to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 1)□<br>2a)□<br>3)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)⊠   | Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 3 and 7-12 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | Irawn from cons   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | ion Papers   |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt the oath or declaration is objected to by the   | accepted or b) the drawing(s) be rection is required  | held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 C   | • •    |  |  |  |
| Priority ι  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notic<br>3) 🔯 Inforr   | t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8 /2/01&amp; 4/10/02</u> .  |   | ) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da ) Notice of Informal Pa ) Other:   | te  | O-152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/920,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

### Detailed Action

Applicant cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Status of the Application

Claims 1- 12 are pending in this application.

If applicant is aware of any prior art or any other co- pending application not already of record, he/she is reminded of his/her duty under 37 CFR 1.56 to disclose the same.

## **Drawings**

This application has been filed on November 15, 2002 with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

## Claimed Foreign Priority—Paper Filed

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1- 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grois et al. (USPNO. 5,506,922)

Grois et al disclose an adapter assembly is provided for mating an optical fiber along an optic axis with an associated fiber optic transmission device. A movable protective shield is adapted for attachment to the body to cover the end face thereof and the shield includes a diverting surface in alignment with the optic axis to prevent light energy transmitted by the optical fiber from being reflected back toward the fiber.

Goris differs from the claimed invention because he does not explicitly disclose the protective device being a slide, which can be moved axially to the receiver and has an aperture for the optical fiber and/or the receiver.

Column 3, lines 29-32 of Goris is the evidence that ordinary skill in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to form an assembly where the protective device being slide. Figure 2 shows that shield can rotate or pivot as indicated by double-headed arrow.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form an assembly that the shield being a slide which can be moved axially to the receiver and has an aperture for the optical fiber and/or the receiver, since the movable protective shield can pivot (i.e., a sliding action or movement) the slide corresponds to a plug-in direction in which the plug and mating are connected to one another as taught by Goris.

Regarding claim 2, the movement direction of the slide corresponds to a plug-in direction in which the plug and mating are connected to one another mentioned in column 3, lines 29-32 of Goris.

Regarding claim 4, wherein the slide is produced as apart separate from the housing, which is shown in figure 2, the protective shield (70).

Regarding claim 5, the limitation such as the slide is designed in the shape of trough with a front end, is considered as a matter of design choice. Further, including a trough shape of the slide with a front end would provide a device that is capable to prevent light transmitted by optical fiber from being reflected back toward the fiber and also protect the operator's eyes and therefore achieving a protective shield that is safe and its mechanisms are simple and thus would have been an obvious.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,702 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claim 6, the limitation such as the housing comprising two or more axial receivers for a plurality of optical fibers, is considered as a matter of design choice. Further, including more axial receivers for a plurality of optical fibers would provide a device that is capable of performing the function of two separate devices and therefore achieving a device that is compact and cost effective and thus would have been an obvious.

## Objections to Claims Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 7-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Cited Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hodge et al., Tabone and Cairns are also cited to show a typical plug-in type connector respectively.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Akm Enayet Ullah whose telephone number is 571-272-

2361. The examiners can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from

5:30 am to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rodney Bovernick, can be reached on Monday through Friday whose

telephone number is 571-272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Akm Enayet Ullah Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2874

Dulla L

Aullah

October 06, 2004